Statistical Ecology Seminar, Canterbury 2014

Around the models in 60 minutes a whirlwind tour of capture-recapture

Rachel McCrea







Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council





Overview

- Introduction
- Model development
- Case studies
- Computer software
- Recent developments (at Kent)

Historical Context

- Estimation of the population of France (1802)
- Human demography
 - What are we interested in?
- Marking of birds started ~1899 in Denmark
- Capture-recapture techniques widely applied:
 - Medical
 - Epidemiological
 - Sociological
 - Ecological

MODEL DEVELOPMENT

- Two sample experiment
- Interested in estimating population size, N



• First sample



• Mark all captured individuals, K



Second sample, n animals captured, k already marked



 The proportion of marked individuals caught (k/K) should equal the proportion of the total population caught (n/N)

$$\widehat{N} = \frac{Kn}{k}$$

 Biased for small sample sizes, adapted Chapman estimator:

$$\widehat{N} = \frac{(K+1)(n+1)}{k+1} - 1$$

- Model assumptions:
 - Population is closed (no births, deaths, immigration or emigration)
 - Marks do not affect catchability of animals
 - Marked animals mix with general population
 - No mark loss
 - All animals equally likely to be captured



Lincoln-Petersen

Schnabel census

- Extended number of sampling occasions, T
- ft: number of individuals captured t times



Schnabel census

- Extended number of sampling occasions, T
- ft: number of individuals captured t times

$$L(N,p) \propto \frac{N!}{(N-D)!} \prod_{t=0}^{T} g(t)^{f_t}$$

- D is the total number of distinct individuals caught, $D = \sum_{t=0}^{T} f_t$
- g(t) is the probability an animal is caught t times

$$g(t) = (1-p)^{T-t}p^t$$

• p: probability of capturing an individual

Schnabel census

M

- Extended number of sampling occasions, T
- ft: number of individuals captured t times

$$L(N,p) \propto \frac{N!}{(N-D)!} \prod_{t=0}^{T} g(t)^{f_t}$$

- D is the total number of distinct individuals caught, $D = \sum_{t=0}^{T} f_t$
- g(t) is the probability an animal is caught t times

$$g(t) = (1-p)^{T-t}p^t$$

p: probability of capturing an individual





 Ayre (1962) estimated an anthill population to be 109 when there were known to be 3,000 ants in it.

Ayre, L.G. 1962. Problems in using the Lincoln index for estimating the size of ant colonies (*Hymenopter formicidae*). *J.N.Y. Ent. Soc.* **70**: 159-166.



Heterogeneity models, M_h

- Not all animals have the same capture probability
- Finite Mixture models¹: approximate the distribution of p using a mixture of a few ps (conceptually from a few subpopulations).
- Continuous (or infinite mixture) models²: Model the distribution of *p* using some flexible continuous distribution (e.g. Beta)

Combinations of both³.

¹ Pledger, (2000). Unified maximum likelihood estimates for closed capture-recapture models using mixtures. *Biometrics* **56**: 434-442.

². Dorazio, and Royle, (2003). Mixture models for estimating the size of a closed population when capture rates vary among individuals. *Biometrics* **59**: 352-364.

³ Morgan and Ridout (2008) A new mixture model for capture heterogeneity. *JRSS-C* **57**, 433-446.







Individual marking

- Capture-recapture data
 - **10010**
 - **11011**
 - 00101
 - ...
- Retain individual and temporal information







Closed population model, M_t

. . .

- pt: probability an individual is captured at occasion t
- Capture-recapture data and probabilities
 - 10010 $p_1(1-p_2)(1-p_3)p_4(1-p_5)$
 - 11011
 p₁p₂(1-p₃)p₄p₅
 - 00101 $(1-p_1)(1-p_2)p_3(1-p_4)p_5$

Closed population models

- Some individuals will not be captured at all during the study
- The encounter history for these individuals is given by
 - 00000 $(1-p_1)(1-p_2)(1-p_3)(1-p_4)(1-p_5)$
- It is the number of individuals who are never captured that we need to estimate to estimate in order to estimate the total population size.



Closed population models

Form a likelihood function as

$$L \propto \frac{N!}{(N-D)!} \prod_{i=1}^{D} \Pr(h_i) \times \Pr(h_0)^{N-D}$$

- h_i: observed encounter history for individual i
- h₀: encounter history of never captured
- N: population size
- D: observed number of individuals
- Maximise L, to obtain *maximum-likelihood* estimates \hat{p}_t and \hat{N} .



Behavioural model, M_b

- p: probability an individual is captured at a given occasion
- Capture-recapture data and probabilities
 - 10010 p(1-p)(1-p)p(1-p)
 - 11011 pp(1-p)pp
 - 00101 (1-p)p(1-p)p

University of Kent

• ...

Behavioural model, M_b

. . .

- c: probability of initial capture
- p: probability an individual is subsequently captured
- Capture-recapture data and probabilities
 - 10010 c(1-p)(1-p)p(1-p)
 - 11011 cp(1-p)pp
 - 00101 (1-c)c(1-p)p





Depletion/removal model



sity of Kent

Depletion/removal model





- Studied population might not be closed, but still want to be able to estimate population size
- Jolly-Seber model
 - POPAN/Schwarz-Arnason formulation

00100

Entry time into the study population /

- Studied population might not be closed, but still want to be able to estimate population size
- Jolly-Seber model
 - POPAN/Schwarz-Arnason formulation

00100

Entry time into the study population /

- Studied population might not be closed, but still want to be able to estimate population size
- Jolly-Seber model
 - POPAN/Schwarz-Arnason formulation

00100

Entry time into the study population /

- Studied population might not be closed, but still want to be able to estimate population size
- Jolly-Seber model
 - POPAN/Schwarz-Arnason formulation

00100

Departure time out of the study population /

- Studied population might not be closed, but still want to be able to estimate population size
- Jolly-Seber model
 - POPAN/Schwarz-Arnason formulation

00100

Departure time out of the study population /

- Studied population might not be closed, but still want to be able to estimate population size
- Jolly-Seber model
 - POPAN/Schwarz-Arnason formulation

00100

Departure time out of the study population /
Jolly-Seber model

- If you assume the population is closed when it is not, the parameter estimates will be biased.
- Parameters for the Jolly-Seber model
 - N: population size
 - β_t : proportion of individuals first **available for** capture at occasion t+1, $\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \beta_j$
 - pt: probability an individual is captured at occasion t
 - \$\overline{t}\$; probability an individual present in the study area at occasion t remains in the study area until occasion t+1

Jolly-Seber model

- When forming the probability of an observed encounter history we need to sum over possible entry and departure times.
 - Suppose individual is first captured at occasion f_i and last captured at occasion l_i
 - x_{ij}=1 if individual i is captured at occasion j, x_{ij}=0 otherwise

$$\Pr(h_i) = \sum_{b=1}^{f_i} \sum_{d=l_i}^T \beta_{b-1} \left(\prod_{j=b}^{d-1} \phi_j \right) (1 - \phi_d) \left\{ \prod_{j=b}^d p_j^{x_{ij}} (1 - p_j)^{1 - x_{ij}} \right\}$$

Jolly-Seber model

 Corresponding probability of an individual not captured during the study

$$\Pr(h_0) = \sum_{b=1}^T \sum_{d=1}^T \beta_{b-1} \left(\prod_{j=b}^{d-1} \phi_j \right) (1 - \phi_d) \left\{ \prod_{j=b}^d (1 - p_j) \right\}$$

$$L \propto \frac{N!}{(N-D)!} \prod_{i=1}^{D} \Pr(h_i) \times \Pr(h_0)^{N-D}$$



Stopover model

- Generalised version of the Jolly-Seber model
- Parameters are defined to be age-dependent
 - **age** corresponds to the time spent in the study area
 - arrival times and departure times are not independent





Stopover model

Parameters

- N: population size; this represents the total number of individuals who have been available for capture on at least one occasion
- β_t : proportion of individuals first **available for capture** at occasion t+1, $\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \beta_j = 1$
- p_t(a): probability an individual which entered the study a occasions previously is captured at occasion t
- φ_t(a): probability an individual present in the study area at occasion t, which entered the study a occasions previously, remains in the study area until occasion t+1.

Stopover model

$$L \propto \frac{N!}{(N-D)!} \prod_{i=1}^{D} \Pr(h_i) \times \Pr(h_0)^{N-D}$$

$$\Pr(h_0) = \sum_{b=1}^T \sum_{d=b}^T \left(\beta_{b-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=b}^{d-1} \phi_j(a) \right\} \left\{ 1 - \phi_d(d-b+1) \right\} \left[\prod_{j=b}^d \{1 - p_j(a)\} \right] \right)$$

$$\Pr(h_i) = \sum_{b=1}^{f_i} \sum_{d=l_i}^T \left(\beta_{b-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=b}^{d-1} \phi_j(a) \right\} \{1 - \phi_d(d-b+1)\} \times \left[\prod_{j=b}^d p_j(a)^{x_{ij}} \{1 - p_j(a)\}^{1-x_{ij}} \right] \right),$$



Cormack-Jolly-Seber model

- Population is open births and death can occur within the study period
- Population size is no longer the parameter of interest
- • survival probability
- Condition on first capture

Cormack-Jolly-Seber model

- Capture-recapture data and probabilities
 - 10010 $\phi_1(1-p_2)\phi_2(1-p_3)\phi_3p_4\chi_4$
 - 11011 $\phi_1 p_2 \phi_2 (1-p_3) \phi_3 p_4 \phi_4 p_5$
 - 00101 φ₃(1-p₄)φ₄p₅

- φ_t: probability an individual survives from occasion t to t+1
- χ_t : probability an individual is not seen after occasion t

Capture-recapture m-array

Number Released	Recaptured at 2	Recaptured at 3	Recaptured at 4	Never Recaptured
R ₁	m ₁₂	m ₁₃	m ₁₄	R ₁ -m ₁₂ -m ₁₃ -m ₁₄
R_2		m ₂₃	m ₂₄	R ₂ -m ₂₃ -m ₂₄
R_3			m ₃₄	R ₃ -m ₃₄

- R_i: Number of individuals released in year i
- m_{ij}: Number of individuals released in year i and next recaptured in year j

Encounter histories to m-arrays

Number Released	Recaptured at 2	Recaptured at 3	Recaptured at 4	Never Recaptured
R ₁	m ₁₂	m ₁₃	m ₁₄	R ₁ -m ₁₂ -m ₁₃ -m ₁₄
R_2		m ₂₃	m ₂₄	R ₂ -m ₂₃ -m ₂₄
R_3			m ₃₄	R ₃ -m ₃₄

1011

R_i: newly marked individuals AND re-released individuals

M-array probabilities

Number Released	Recaptured at 2	Recaptured at 3	Recaptured at 4	Never Recaptured
R ₁	$\phi_1 p_2$	$\phi_1(1-p_2)\phi_2p_3$	$\phi_1(1-p_2)\phi_2(1-p_3)\phi_3p_4$	χ ₁
R ₂		$\phi_2 p_3$	$\phi_2(1-p_3)\phi_3p_4$	χ ₂
R ₃			$\phi_3 p_4$	χ ₃

- φ_t: probability an animal alive at time t survives to time t+1
- p_{t+1}: probability an animal alive at time t+1 is recaptured at time t+1
- Cormack-Jolly-Seber Model: $\phi(t), p(t)$



Multi-site/state models $\psi(A,A)$ Site $\psi(A,C)$ $\psi(B,A)$ A $\psi(C,A)$ $\psi(A,B)$ Site Site С B $\psi(B,C)$ $\psi(C,C)$ $\psi(B,B)$ $\psi(C,B)$

Parameters

- $\phi_t(r)$: probability of an animal alive at time t in site r, survives until time t+1
- p_{t+1}(s): probability of an animal alive in site s at time t+1 being recaptured
- ψt(r,s): probability of an animal alive in site r at time t moving to site s by time t+1



Constructing probabilities

- Two state system: A and B
- Observed encounter history: A 0 B



Constructing probabilities

- Two state system: A and B
- Observed encounter history: A 0 B
- A or B ? sum over both possible options

$$\phi_1(A)[\psi_1(A,A)\{1-p_2(A)\}\phi_2(A)\psi_2(A,B) \\ +\psi_1(A,B)\{1-p_2(B)\}\phi_2(B)\psi_2(B,B)]p_3(B)$$





Multievent models

- Multistate models rely on the assumption that the state of an individual is perfectly assigned
- Reasonable assumption in some cases geographical location
- In some cases there might be "mistakes" breeding status
- General framework for many capture-recapture models
 - Multistate model
 - Memory models
 - Mixture models
- Hidden Markov model¹

¹King (2014) Statistical Ecology. Annual Review of Statistics and its Application **1** 401-426. University of Kent

CASE STUDIES

Case study 1: Cormack-Jolly-Seber model

- Mark-recapture data collected between 1995 and 2006
- Population of Great crested newts, *Tristurus cristatus,* close to the University of Kent campus.
- Meta-population studied over four groups of ponds
- Best model: $\phi(t)$,p(pond)

Wellcourt study site

Great crested newts: $\phi(t)$,p(pond)

- Garden Pond: P = 0.697 (0.629-0.757)
- Swimming Pool: p = 0.845 (0.726-0.919)
- Snake Pond: p = 0.294 (0.225-0.373)
- Pylon Pond: p = 0.233 (0.100-0.455)

	Model	QAICc	Delta OAIC:
	- HOUT : MAD : COOT) - (-it-)	1040.21	0.00
	phi($vv + nAR + i2005$), $p(site)$	1940.21	1.41
h(t) versus h(WT+NAR)	phi(time), p(site + sex)	1941.02	1.41
	nbi(time), p(site)	1042.60	2.20
	phi(WT + SR + NAR + WGF + r2005).	1942.78	2.57
	n(site)	101100	
	phi(time), p(site + time)	1945.99	5.78
	phi(WT + SR + NAR + WGF), p(site)	1947.09	6.88
	phi(WT + NAR + WGF), p(site)	1947.26	7.06
	phi(WT + SR + NAR + WGF)	1947.37	7.16
	p(site + sex)		
	phi(WT + SR + NAR), p(site)	1948.04	7.84
1	phi(WT + SR + NAR + WGF),	1948.48	8.27
	p(site + sex)		
	phi(WT+NAR), p(site)	1949.16	8.95
0.9	phi(sex + time), p(site)	1950.53	10.33
0.7 0.6 0.7 0.6 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 0.1 0 0 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Change pond	s to s	
1994 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004 200	6		
i cai	Universi	ty of Kei	nt

Case study 2: Stopover model

- Colony Vorsø
- Daily visits are made to the cormorant colonies during the breeding season, data summarised as monthly captures
- Records are made of whether or not the cormorants successfully breed
 University of Kent

Great cormorants

- 318 individuals
- 9 occasions monthly February-October

Case study 2

Model	AIC	∆AIC	np	MLE of (N-D)
N,β(t),φ(.),p(.)	1459.38	332.32	6	11.6
N,β(t),φ(t),p(.)	1127.97	0.91	14	1.8
N,β(t),φ(a),p(.)	1156.24	29.18	14	1.2
N,β(t),φ(a+t),p(.)	1127.06	0.00	22	1.4

Case study 3: removal model

Great crested newt removal data*

*data collected by Herpetologic Ltd

Case study 3: removal model

Adding overdispersion – beta-geometric model

sity of Kent

Case study 3: removal model

- Temporal variation weather covariates
- Minimum air temperature

Case study 4: Multistate model

Phalacrocorax carbo sinensis
Recapture data



- Recapture data collected between 1989 and 1994
- Initial ringing was carried out on non-breeding individuals
- Birds were not recaptured until they had become breeding individuals
- Must model transition between non-breeding and breeding states as well as geographical movements between the colonies

Transitions

• We have a total of six states:

- Non-breeders & Breeders at each of the sites
- Background biology:
 - Once an individual has attained a breeding status, it remains in the breeding state for the remainder of its life



Transitions

- Natal Dispersal: movement between the colonies whilst a non-breeder
- Recruitment: transition from non-breeding to breeding state
- Breeding Dispersal: movement between the colonies whilst a breeder





		ТО					
		NB in VO	NB in MA	NB in SF	B in VO	B in MA	B in SF
Natal Dispersal: movement between the colonies whilst a non- breeder	NB in VO						
	NB in MA	Natal Dispersal			Recruitment		
Recruitment: transition from non-breeding to	NB in SF						
breeding state	B in VO						
Breeding Dispersal: movement between the colonies whilst a breeder	B in MA				Breedi	ng Disp	persal
	B in SF						

Recruitment probability



Emigration: adapted cormorant model



COMPUTER SOFTWARE











RECENT DEVELOPMENTS





Cowen, Besbeas, Morgan and Schwarz (2014) A comparison of abundance estimates from extended batch-marking and Jolly-Seber-type experiments. *Ecology and Evolution. 4*, 210-218.







McCrea, Jeyam and Morgan (2014) High dimensional multistate capture-recapture models for time-varying individual covariates. *In prep.*

Conclusions

- Rapidly developing research area
- New data collection techniques are driving the statistical developments
- Collaborative research



Data collected from web of science using search terms: Capture-recapture; mark-recapture; mark-resight

Book, website and e-mail list

Chapman & Hall/CRC Interdisciplinary Statistics Series

Analysis of Capture-Recapture Data

 $1-\chi_{c,j}=(1-S_{c,j})\lambda_{c,j}+S_{c,j}\{1-(1-p_{c,j+1})\chi_{c,j+1}\}$



Rachel S. McCrea and Byron J. T. Morgan

CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group

- www.capturerecapture.co.uk
- stats-ecology@kent.ac.uk
- R.S.McCrea@kent.ac.uk

